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#### Abstract

In section one, we introduced the main concept and definition which we needed in later sections. In section two, we proved that some axioms are equivalent to the other ones. Section three contains the main features of work.

In section three of this paper we proved that any pregroup satisfying $P_{6}$ can be expressed as a product of factors which are also pregroups satisfying $\mathrm{P}_{6}$. We also proved that the universal group of a pregroup satisfying $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ is the free product of the universal groups of the factors of P amalgamating the core part $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$.

Index Terms - Amalgamation, Core, Decomposition, Factors, Free Prducts, Factors, Length of a word, Pregroup, Reduced words, Universal Group.


## 1 Introduction

Stallings [5] in 1971 introduced the concept of a pregroup. Subsequent work has been dose by Nesayef [3], 1983, Chiswell [1], 19jm bn87 and many others.
Five axioms were originally introduced by Stallings [5], namely $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$, and $P_{5}$. We proved that $P_{3}$ is a consequence of the other axioms and we proved that $P_{6}$ which was introduced by Nesayef [3] is stronger than $P_{5}$.
Stallings [5] introduced the fallowing construction of a pregroup.

Definition 1.1: A pregroup is a set P containing an element called the identity element of $P$, denoted by 1 , a subset $D$ of $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ and a mapping $\mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$, when $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \rightarrow \mathrm{x} y$ together with a map i: $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ when $\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{-1}$, satisfying the following axioms.
( we say that $x y$ is defined if $(x, y) \in D$, i.e. $x y \in P$ ).
$P_{1}$. For all $x \in P, 1 x$ and $x 1$ are defined and $1 x=x 1=x$.
$P_{2}$. For all $x \in P, x^{-1} x=x^{-1} x=1$
$P_{3}$. For all $x, y \in P$ if $x y$ is defined, then $y^{-1} x^{-1}$ is defined and $(x y)^{-1}=y^{-1} x^{-1}$.
$P_{4}$. Suppose that $x, y, z \in P$. If $x y$ and $y z$ are defined, then $x(y z)$ is defined, is which case $x(y z)=(x y) z$.
$P_{5}$. If $w, x, y, z \in P$, and if $w x, x y, y z$, are all defined them either $w(x y)$ or $(x y) z$ is defined.

Proposition 1.2 : Let $P$ be a pregroup and $a, x \in P$. If $a x$ is defined, them $\mathrm{a}^{-1}(\mathrm{ax})$ is defined and $\mathrm{a}^{-1}(\mathrm{ax})=x$.

Proof: By $\mathrm{P}_{2}$, we have $\mathrm{a}^{-1} \mathrm{a}$ is defined and equals 1 .
Thus by $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}$, we have $\mathrm{a}^{-1}(\mathrm{ax})$ is defined and
$a^{-1}(a x)=\left(a^{-1} a\right) x=x$.
The following propositions prove that $P_{3}$ is a consequence of the other axioms.

Proposition 1.3 : Let $P$ be a pregroup and $x, y, \in P$. If $x y$ is defined them $y^{-1} x^{-1}$ is defined and $(x y)^{-1}=y^{-1} x^{-1}$

Proof : Suppose $x y$ is defined. Then $x y \in P$ and $(x y)^{-1} \in P$
Consider : $\mathrm{x}^{-1}, \mathrm{xy},(\mathrm{xy})^{-1}$ :
$x^{-1}(x y)$ and $(x y)(x y)^{-1}$ are defined .
Since $x^{-1}\left[(x y)(x y)^{-1}\right]$ is defined and equals to $x^{-1}$ then by $\mathrm{P}_{4}$, we have :
$\left[x^{-1}(x y)\right](x y)^{-1}$ is also defined and equals to

$$
x^{-1}\left[(x y)(x y)^{-1}\right]=x^{-1}
$$

By $P_{4}$ again : $y(x y)^{-1}=x^{-1}$
Now consider: $y^{-1}, y,(x y)^{-1}$ :
$y^{-1} y$ and $y(x y)$ are both defined.
Since $\left[y^{-1} y\right](x y)^{-1}$ is defined and $=(x y)^{-1}$.
Then by $P_{4}: y^{-1}\left[y(x y)^{-1}\right]$ is also defined and $=(x y)^{-1}$.

Definition 1.4: Let P be pregroup. A word in P is an n -tuple: ( $\mathrm{x}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{x}_{4}$ ) of elements of P , for some $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$. n is called the
length of the word.

Definition 1.5: A word $\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right)$ is said to be reduced if $x_{i} x$ ${ }_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ is not defined for any $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}-1$.

Let $P_{0}=\{x \in P: x y$ and $y x$ are defined for all $y \in P\}$. We call $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$ the core of P .

Proposition 1.6 : $\quad P_{o}$ is a subgroup .
Proof: $\quad$ Suppose $x \in P_{o}$.
By the definition of $P_{o}: x y, y x$ are defined for all $y \in P$ and
by proposition 2: $y^{-1} x^{-1}$ and $y^{-1} x$ are both defined, so $x^{-1} \in P$
Suppose $\mathrm{x} y \in \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}} . \mathrm{xy}, \mathrm{y} \mathrm{z}$ and $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{yz})$ are all defined for all z $\in \mathrm{P}$.
By $\mathrm{P}_{4}:(\mathrm{xy}) \mathrm{z}$ defined for all $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$.
We now introduce an additional condition on a given pregroup P :
$\mathrm{P}_{6}$ : $\quad$ Suppose ( $\mathrm{x} y$ ) is reduced. If x a and $\mathrm{a}^{-1} \mathrm{y}$ are both defined then $a \in P_{0}$.

It has been proved in [3] that $p_{6}$ is equivalent to :
$P_{6^{\prime}}$ : If $(x, y)$ is reduced and $(a x) y$ is defined for $a \in P_{o}$.
A further equivalence statement of $P_{6}$ is given by Hoare [2] as follows:
$P_{6^{\prime \prime}}$ : If $\mathrm{x} y$ and $\mathrm{y}^{-1} \mathrm{z}$ are defined and $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$, then x z is defined.

Definition 1.7 : Let $P$ be any pregroup. The Universal group $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{P})$ is the set of all equivalence classes of reduced words.

## 2. Decomposition of Pregroups

Theorem 2.1: Any pregroup satisfying $\mathrm{P}_{6}$, can be expressed as a product of factors $P_{i}$, where each factor $P_{i}$ is a pregroup satisfying $\mathrm{P}_{6}$.
To prove this theorem, we need the following:

## Definition 2.2 :

Let $P$ be pregroup satisfying $P_{6}$ and $P_{o} \neq 1$. Define a relation $\approx$ on $\mathrm{P} \backslash \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$ by:
$x \sim y$ if and only if $\exists a \in P_{o}$ such that $x$ a $y$ is defined.

Proposition 2.3: The relation $\sim$ is as equivalence relation .
Proof : This is reflexive for $x x^{-1}=1 \in P$.

Symmetric : for if $\mathrm{xay} \mathrm{y}^{-1}$ is defined, these $\left[(\mathrm{xy}) \mathrm{y}^{-1}\right]^{-1}=\mathrm{y}$ $(x a)^{-1}=y^{-1} x^{-1}$.

For transitivity, suppose x a y and $\mathrm{y} \mathrm{b} \mathrm{z}^{-1}$ both defined. Since $y \in P_{o}$ then by $P_{6}, x a b z^{-1}$ is defined.
ie $x \sim z$.
Therefore, " $\sim$ " is an equivalence relation.

Definition 2.4: Define a relative $\approx p \backslash p_{0}$ by :
$\mathrm{x} \approx \mathrm{y}$ if either $\mathrm{x} \sim \mathrm{y}$ or if $\exists \boldsymbol{Z} \in \mathrm{P} \backslash \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$ such that $\mathrm{x} \sim$ and y $\sim \mathrm{z}^{-1}$.

Proposition 2.5 : The relation $\approx$ is an equivalent relative .
Proof: This is reflexive and symmetric .
For transitivity we assume that $x \approx y$. Then $\exists u$ and $v$ such that $x \approx u, y \approx u^{-1} \approx v$ and $z \approx v^{-1}$.
Since $u^{-1} \approx v$, then $\exists a \in P_{o}$ such that $v$ a $u$ is defined.
By Proposition $2.3 \mathrm{v}^{-1}$ ( v a u) and (v a u) $\mathrm{u}^{-1}$ are defined and equal to au and va respectively.

So $v^{-1}(v a u) u^{-1}$ is defined.
If $v$ a $u \in P_{o}$, then $v^{-1} \sim u$ by definition of $\sim, x \sim z^{z}$.
If $v$ a $u \notin P_{o}$, then $v a u \sim u \sim x$ and $v^{-1} \sim u^{-1} a^{-1} v^{-1} \sim z$.
Hence $x \approx z$.
Therefore $\approx$ is an equivalence relation .

## Proof of Theorem 1:

Denote the class containing $x_{i}$ under $\approx$ by $\left[x_{i}\right]$.
Let $P_{i}=P_{o} U\left\{y \in\left[x_{i}\right]\right.$ and $y^{-1} \in\left[x_{i}\right]$ and $\left.y^{-1} \in\left[x_{i}\right]\right\}$.
For $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ in $P_{i}$, the product $x_{1} x_{2}$ is defined in $P_{i}$ if and only if $x_{1} x_{2}$ is defined in $P$.

We now show that $P_{i}$ is a pregroup satisfying $P_{6}$.
$P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are clear from the definition of $P_{i}$. So we need only consider $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{6}$.

For $P_{4}$, let $x, y$ and $z \in P_{i}$ and let $x y$ and $y z$ be defined in $P_{i}$. Suppose $(x y) z$ is defined in $P_{i}$. Then $x y, y z$ and $(x y) z$ are defined in P .

By $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ on P , we have $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{yz})$ is defined in P hence it is defined in $P_{i}$.

To prove $P_{6}$, suppose ( $\left.x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right)$ is reduced in $P_{i}$, then $\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right)$ is reduced in P .

If $x_{1}$ and $a^{-1} x_{2}$ are both defined in $P_{i}$, then they are defined in P. By $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ on P , we have $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$.

Therefore $P_{i}$ is a pregroup satisfying $P_{6}$ and denote $P={ }^{*} P_{o} P_{i}$.

## 3. The Universal Group :

Theorem 3.1: The universal group of a pregroup satisfying $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ is isomorphic to the free product of the universal groups of the factors $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of P , amalgamating $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$.

To prove this theorem, we need the following :
Definition 3.1: For $x_{1}$ and $x_{2} \in P_{i} \backslash P_{o}$, we say that $\left(x_{1} . x_{2}\right.$ ) is reduced if $x_{1} x_{2}$ is not defined in $P_{i}$.

Lemma 3.2: If $x_{i} \in P_{i}, x_{j} \in P_{j}$ for $i \neq j$, then $\left(x_{i}, a x_{j}\right)$ is reduced for all $a \in P$.

Proof: If not then, there exists $a \in P_{o}$ such that $x_{i}$ a $x_{i}$ is defined, then $x_{i} x_{j}{ }^{-1}$ is defined. So $x^{-1} \in P$, then $x_{j} \in P_{i} a$ contradiction .

Now let $U\left(P_{i}\right)$ be the universal group of the pregroup $P_{i}$ defined in stalling [4], further details with proofs are given in [4]

Let $U\left(P_{i}\right)$ be the universal group of the pregroup $P_{i}$ and form ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$.

Definition 3.3: A sequence $x_{1} . x_{2} \ldots \ldots x_{n}$ is reduced in * $P_{o} U($ $P_{i}$ ), if $x_{i} x_{j}$ is not defined for $1 \leq i \leq n-1,2 \leq j \leq n$.

Indeed this expression is not unique, but we only need a reduced form of the elements of ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ in the theorem.

## Proof of the Theorem :

Define the map $\Phi:{ }^{*} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{P})$ by : $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n} \in U(P)$, where $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is in reduced form in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Po}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$

Suppose $\Phi\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \ldots . x_{n}\right)=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}=1$, for $n \geq 1$.
If $\mathrm{n}=1$, then $\phi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{x}_{1}=1$
If $n>1$, then there exists some $i$ for which $x_{i} x_{i+1}$ is defined in P.

Let $x_{i} \in P_{i}$, then $x_{i+1} \in P_{i}$, moreover, $x_{i} x_{i+1}$ is reduced in $P_{i}$, a contradiction to reduced form in ${ }^{*} P_{o} U\left(P_{i}\right)$.
Hence $\phi$ is one- to - one.
Since P generate $U(p)$, then $\phi$ is onto . i.e. $\phi$ is an isomorphism.

Therefore $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{P})$ is a free product of $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ amalgamating $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$.
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